## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Derell Dewitt Wiggins, #345961,	) C/A No.: 1:18-1448-TMC-SVH
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	)
Brian Wallace; Mark Richardson; Richard Neil; Briana Murphy; Bryan Alexander; Oscar Almazon; Richard McCullough; and Brandon Rowell,	ORDER ) ) ) )
Defendants.	) ) )

Derell Dewitt Wiggins ("Plaintiff"), proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, brought this civil rights action alleging a violation of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel. [ECF No. 98].

There is no right to appointed counsel in § 1983 cases. *Cf. Hardwick v. Ault*, 517 F.2d 295, 298 (5th Cir. 1975). While the court is granted the power to exercise its discretion to appoint counsel for an indigent in a civil action, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); *Smith v. Blackledge*, 451 F.2d 1201 (4th Cir. 1971), such appointment "should be allowed only in exceptional cases." *Cook v. Bounds*, 518 F.2d 779, 780 (4th Cir. 1975). Plaintiff in his motion has not shown that any exceptional circumstances exist in this case.

After a review of the file, this court has determined that there are no

exceptional or unusual circumstances presented that would justify the appointment of counsel, nor would Plaintiff be denied due process if an attorney were not appointed. Whisenant v. Yuam, 739 F.2d 160 (4th Cir. 1984). In most civil rights cases, the issues are not complex, and whenever such a case brought by an uncounseled litigant goes to trial, the court outlines proper procedure so the uncounseled litigant will not be deprived of a fair opportunity to present his case. Accordingly, Plaintiff's request for a discretionary appointment of counsel under 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(1) is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

June 7, 2019 Columbia, South Carolina Shiva V. Hodges United States Magistrate Judge

(Shira V. Hodges